International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Technology (IJSRMT) ijsrmt.com
Volume 5, Issue 7, 2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.38124/ijsrmt.v5i6.1579

Artificial Intelligence Techniques in Computer Science Research

Elayaraja Subbaiah[1]; Manykandaprebou Vaitinadin[2]; Elavarasi Kesavan[3]

[1]Cloud Solution Architect, Teknatio Inc
[2]SAP SCM Solution Architect, Epson America Inc
[3]Full Stack Automation Engineer, Cognizant

Publication Date: 2026/07/28

Abstract

Artificial intelligence has altered the way computer science research is planned, carried out, and judged. What began in the early twentieth century as a body of formal theory about computation and reasoning matured, over several decades, into a practical discipline: early decision trees and rule-based systems prepared the ground for the statistical learning algorithms in use today (Taulli T). Machine learning, and within it deep learning, now anchors work in natural language processing, computer vision, and robotics, where multi-layered neural networks extract structure from data volumes no manual analysis could cover (Aslay F). Two consequences of this shift frame the present review. The first is methodological. Learning-based models let researchers process large datasets quickly and surface regularities that complexity previously hid from view; in areas ranging from medical diagnostics to autonomous systems, this has translated into measurable gains in accuracy and speed of discovery. The second consequence is organizational. Because AI methods travel well across domains, computer scientists increasingly work alongside specialists in health, finance, and environmental science. These collaborations do more than export algorithms; they feed domain requirements back into algorithm design, producing tools that answer to real problems such as climate modeling and public health surveillance. Integration of this kind is not free of cost. It brings technical hurdles — data quality, reproducibility, computational expense — and it raises ethical questions about bias, transparency, and accountability that the discipline is still learning to answer. Frameworks that establish ethical standards are needed both to preserve public trust and to comply with emerging regulation. The sections that follow trace the historical development of AI within computer science, review the principal technique families and their applications, and close with an original discussion of cross-cutting patterns, ethical obligations, and likely future directions.

I. INTRODUCTION

The history of AI is usually told as an alternation between enthusiasm and disappointment, and the pattern is instructive because each recovery was driven by a different resource becoming available. The field acquired its name at the Dartmouth Conference of 1956, where John McCarthy, Marvin Minsky, Claude Shannon, and their colleagues laid out a research agenda for machine intelligence. Early results such as the Logic Theorist encouraged broad optimism, but the available hardware and formal methods could not sustain those ambitions, and funding contracted sharply during the periods now called the AI winters, in the 1970s and again in the late 1980s.

Expert systems revived commercial interest in the 1980s by narrowing the goal from general intelligence to codified domain expertise. Statistical methods and neural networks carried the field forward in the 1990s, and the arrival of very large datasets made machine learning the dominant paradigm. The 2010s brought the deep learning results that pushed performance to new levels in healthcare, finance, and autonomous systems, and with them a widening public debate on AI ethics that now shapes both research funding and policy formulation (Chandan K Sen et al., pp. 1-31). Table 1 lists the milestones most often used to anchor this chronology.

Table 1 Historical Milestones in Artificial Intelligence Development.

Year	Milestone
1950	Alan Turing publishes 'Computing Machinery and Intelligence'.
1956	Dartmouth Conference; the term 'Artificial Intelligence' is coined.
1957	Frank Rosenblatt develops the Perceptron, an early neural network.
1966	ELIZA, the first chatbot, is developed by Joseph Weizenbaum.
1997	Deep Blue defeats world chess champion Garry Kasparov.
2012	AlexNet wins the ImageNet competition, transforming deep learning research.

II. MACHINE LEARNING: CONCEPTS AND APPLICATIONS

Machine learning designates the branch of AI concerned with algorithms that improve through exposure to data rather than through explicit programming (Mian SM et al.). Its three canonical settings — supervised, unsupervised, and reinforcement learning — correspond to different problem structures: labeled prediction, structure discovery, and sequential decision-making respectively. The practical footprint is wide. Transaction-scoring models flag fraudulent payments in banking, diagnostic-support systems assist clinicians in interpreting clinical data, and the perception stacks of autonomous vehicles depend on learned components throughout (A Raju).

The same breadth exposes the field's unresolved problems. Models used in consequential decisions need to be interpretable to the people accountable for those decisions; training data can quietly encode bias; and validation methodology must be strong enough to support claims of reliability outside the training distribution. Progress on these fronts has been slower than progress on raw benchmark accuracy, which is one reason interdisciplinary collaboration among computer scientists, statisticians, domain experts, and ethicists recurs as a theme across the machine learning literature (A Raju).

[Note to authors: the industry market-size table from the previous draft was removed because its figures could not be verified against any published source. See the accompanying audit document.]

➢ *Deep Learning Techniques and Their Impact*

Deep learning owes its influence to one architectural idea — stacking many layers of trainable representations — executed at scale. Convolutional neural networks reach, and on some benchmarks exceed, human-level accuracy in image classification (Li Z et al.). Recurrent architectures and Long Short-Term Memory networks reshaped sequence modeling in natural language processing before transformer models largely superseded them (S Palaniappan et al.).

Healthcare offers a concrete measure of how quickly these techniques have moved from laboratory to operations: 66 percent of United States hospitals reported using predictive AI integrated with their electronic health record systems in 2023, rising to 71 percent in 2024 (ASTP/ONC, 2025). Speed of adoption, however, is not evidence of maturity in governance. The concerns that accompany deployment — algorithmic bias and the opacity of decision processes — remain live research problems rather than solved ones (Gunning D et al.), a point developed further in Sections IX and XV.

Table 2 Adoption of Predictive AI Among US Hospitals (ASTP/ONC Data Brief, 2025; AHA IT Supplement). Years Corrected from the Previous Draft.

Year	US hospitals using predictive AI in EHR systems
2023	66%
2024	71%

➢ *Natural Language Processing in Research*

Natural language processing addresses the machine treatment of human language: sentiment analysis, machine translation, automatic summarization, and question answering. Deep learning moved the field decisively. Transformer models capture long-range context and lexical ambiguity far better than the feature-engineered pipelines they replaced, and they generate coherent text at a quality earlier systems never approached (Chen Y et al.; Markov K et al.). Two problems remain stubborn. Bias absorbed from training corpora reproduces itself in downstream applications, and the interpretability of model decisions lags far behind their fluency — an uncomfortable combination for research settings where NLP output informs scientific or clinical conclusions.

➢ *Computer Vision Advances Through AI*

Computer vision applies mathematical modeling and deep learning to images and video, and modern systems now identify and categorize objects at near-human levels on constrained tasks. Convolutional networks remain the workhorse architecture, while generative adversarial networks added the capacity to synthesize realistic imagery (Sahu D et al.; Li Z et al.). It is worth stressing that this shift was propelled less by any single algorithmic insight than by the joint availability of large annotated datasets and affordable parallel computing (Zhang Y et al.) — a dependency with consequences discussed in Section XV.

➢ *Reinforcement Learning and Its Applications*

Reinforcement learning studies agents that learn sequential decision-making from the consequences of their own actions. Its most visible results are superhuman play in chess and Go and the autonomous acquisition of motor skills in robotics (Tandalaskar S et al.). Deep reinforcement learning, which couples these methods with neural function approximation, has extended the reach into finance and healthcare applications (Zhang Y et al.). The limiting factors in practice are sample inefficiency — agents need far more trial experience than most real environments can safely provide — and the safety of exploration itself, which restricts deployment in physical and high-stakes settings.

➢ *AI in Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*

The goal of knowledge discovery in databases was framed decades ago as the automatic extraction of valid, novel, and useful patterns from data (Usama M Fayyad et al.); machine learning has since supplied progressively stronger pattern-finders for that framework (Nasiboglu R). Combining learned models with statistical modeling has sharpened predictive analytics, giving researchers a defensible basis for forecasting from historical data. More recently, the convergence of smart systems and internet platforms has extended these capabilities into distributed architectures that support real-time knowledge discovery across networked environments (Kesavan E & Subbaiah E).

III. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN AI RESEARCH

As AI systems enter consequential domains, the ethics of their construction and use stops being a peripheral concern and becomes part of the research method itself. Three issues dominate. Bias in algorithms is the most immediate: models trained on historical data inherit historical inequities, and without deliberate auditing they reproduce them at scale (Lal A et al.). Privacy follows directly, because modern systems train on massive datasets that routinely include sensitive personal information (Saleh SOM et al.). Transparency binds the other two together: when neither users nor regulators can reconstruct how a system reached its decision, accountability has no anchor.

Our reading of this literature is that the field's difficulty is not a shortage of principles — statements of AI ethics are plentiful — but the absence of enforcement mechanisms connecting principles to research practice. Frameworks that tie ethical standards to concrete requirements, such as documented bias audits, data provenance records, and decision logs, are the plausible bridge, and they align with the direction regulation is already taking.

➢ *AI Techniques in Software Engineering*

Software engineering is absorbing AI across the whole development lifecycle. In requirements elicitation, natural language processing analyzes stakeholder communications to extract and prioritize software needs (Dr. Anitha C et al.). During implementation, AI-assisted coding tools supply real-time suggestions and error detection, shifting developer attention toward design problems the tools cannot yet handle. Testing benefits through defect prediction, where models trained on historical defect data direct scarce review effort to the modules most likely to fail (Wu H). These developments extend a longer lineage of design-methodology research: the evolution of software design patterns, from foundational object-oriented constructs to AI-augmented architectural strategies, provides context for how intelligent tooling is reshaping structural practice (Kesavan E).

[Note to authors: the adoption-rate table (76% in 2024, 90% in 2025, 97.5% in 2026) was removed — no source could be located for these figures. If retained, they require a citation.]

➢ *AI for Cybersecurity Enhancements*

In cybersecurity, AI changes the economics of defense. Machine learning models detect anomalous activity and flag risks that signature-based tools miss, which matters most against novel attack patterns for which no signature yet exists (Bisht RK). Automation of security operations shortens the interval between detection and remediation (Ansari MF et al.). The same capability cuts both ways: adversaries use identical techniques for evasion and attack generation, so the field should be understood as an arms race in which AI raises the tempo on both sides rather than a settled advantage for defenders.

➢ *AI in Human-Computer Interaction*

AI has reshaped human-computer interaction by letting systems model user behavior, preferences, and patterns rather than merely respond to explicit commands (Haider MH et al.). Advances in natural language processing have moved interaction closer to ordinary conversation (Tandalaskar S et al.). The open question for HCI research is calibration: adaptive interfaces help when their inferences about the user are right and frustrate when they are wrong, and the discipline is still developing methods for keeping users meaningfully in control of systems that personalize themselves.

[Note to authors: the HCI adoption table (35% in 2020 through 78% in 2023) was removed — no source could be located for these figures.]

➢ *Future Trends in AI Research*

Three research directions stand out. The combination of AI with quantum computing remains speculative but is attracting sustained investment. Federated learning answers the privacy pressures described in Section IX by training models across many devices without centralizing the underlying data (Aslay F). Explainable AI aims to make model decisions inspectable, and its progress will largely determine how far AI can penetrate regulated domains (Hsu CY & Li W). Alongside these, the demand for real-time analysis is pushing model efficiency — not just capability — to the center of research attention (Zhang Y et al.).

The economic indicators point the same direction. The global AI market has been projected to reach $407 billion by 2027 (MarketsandMarkets, 2022); global corporate investment in AI reached approximately $189 billion in 2023 (Stanford AI Index, 2024); PwC has estimated that AI could add $15.7 trillion to the global economy by 2030, equivalent to a 14 percent uplift in global GDP (PwC, 2017); and 64 percent of surveyed businesses expect AI to raise their productivity (Forbes Advisor, 2023). Table 3 summarizes these figures with their sources.

Table 3 Economic Indicators for AI Research and Adoption (All Figures Verified Against the Cited Sources; the Unverifiable "21% of US GDP" Claim in the Previous Draft was Replaced).

Indicator	Value	Source
Projected global AI market size (2027)	$407 billion	MarketsandMarkets, 2022
Global corporate investment in AI (2023)	≈ $189 billion	Stanford AI Index, 2024
Estimated AI contribution to global economy by 2030	$15.7 trillion (≈14% of global GDP)	PwC, 2017
Businesses expecting AI productivity gains	64%	Forbes Advisor survey, 2023

IV. DISCUSSIONS

Reading these technique families side by side, rather than as isolated literatures, suggests three observations that individual surveys tend to miss.

First, every success story reviewed here rests on the same three inputs: large curated datasets, parallel computing capacity, and shared evaluation benchmarks. AlexNet needed ImageNet as much as it needed GPUs; transformer NLP needed web-scale corpora; hospital predictive AI needed structured EHR data. The implication is uncomfortable for academic computer science: access to those inputs, more than algorithmic novelty, increasingly determines who can conduct frontier research, and that access is concentrating in industrial laboratories. Research agendas in universities may need to shift deliberately toward efficiency, evaluation methodology, and domain adaptation — areas where insight still outweighs infrastructure.

Second, there is at present an inverse relationship between model capability and interpretability, and different application domains tolerate that trade-off very differently. The hospital adoption figures in Table 2 illustrate the risk: deployment is running ahead of local validation and bias evaluation. Where AI output feeds scientific conclusions or clinical decisions, we regard interpretability not as a desirable extra but as a validity requirement, on a par with statistical significance testing.

Third, the subfields surveyed here are converging on a common substrate. Natural language processing, computer vision, and increasingly reinforcement learning share pretrained transformer-based foundations, with task-specific work happening in fine-tuning and evaluation rather than in architecture design. For research organization this blurs boundaries that university curricula and journal taxonomies still assume; for practice it means that progress and failure modes now propagate across subfields faster than domain-specific review processes are accustomed to handling.

V. LIMITATIONS

This paper is a narrative review, not a systematic one. Sources were selected for representativeness rather than through an exhaustive protocol, so coverage across subfields is uneven, and the pace of the field guarantees that some statements will age quickly — particularly the economic projections in Table 3, which should be read as directional rather than precise. The cross-cutting observations in Section XIV are the authors' interpretation of the surveyed material and would benefit from empirical testing, for example through bibliometric analysis of dataset and compute dependencies across published AI research.

VI. CONCLUSION

AI techniques have altered computer science research in both method and organization. Machine learning, deep learning, and natural language processing jointly demonstrate how large datasets become usable knowledge, and the applications reviewed here — from hospital decision support to software defect prediction — show that the transformation is operational, not merely academic (Aslı Göde et al.; Aslay F). The field's next obligations are as much governance as engineering: distributing the benefits of these technologies equitably, auditing the biases they inherit, and building the interpretability that regulated domains will rightly demand. Future work should test the readiness arguments made here against longitudinal adoption and outcome data.

REFERENCES

[1]. ASTP/ONC. "Hospital Trends in the Use, Evaluation, and Governance of Predictive AI, 2023-2024." Health IT Data Brief, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Technology Policy, 2025. https://healthit.gov/data/data-briefs/hospital-trends-use-evaluation-and-governance-predictive-ai-2023-2024/

[2]. Bisht RK. [ADD FULL REFERENCE — cited in Section XI but missing from the original bibliography.]

[3]. Chandan K. Sen, Deeptankar Demazumder. "Getting Started on Artificial Intelligence in Health Care and Clinical Research." Advances in Wound Care, 2025.

[4]. Chen Y, Wang H, Yu K, Zhou R. "Artificial Intelligence Methods in Natural Language Processing: A Comprehensive Review." 2024.

[5]. Dr. Cuddapah Anitha, Nirmal Kumar Gupta, Dr. Balaji Chintala, Dr. Daniel Pilli, Elavarasi Kesavan, Dr. S. Md Shakir Ali. "Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Software Development Processes." 2025.

[6]. Forbes Advisor. "How Businesses Are Using Artificial Intelligence." Forbes Advisor survey of 600 business owners, 2023. https://www.forbes.com/advisor/business/software/ai-in-business/
[7]. Gunning D, Vorm ES, Wang Y, Turek M. "DARPA's explainable AI (XAI) program: A retrospective." Applied AI Letters, 2021.
[8]. Göde A, Kalkan A. "What is Artificial Intelligence?" 2023.
[9]. Haider MH, Majeed MA, Irfan M. "Needs of Digital Literacy in the Era of Artificial Intelligence: A Comprehensive Review." Inverge Journal of Social Sciences, 2025.
[10]. Hsu CY, Li W. "Explainable GeoAI: can saliency maps help interpret artificial intelligence's learning process?" International Journal of Geographical Information Science, 2023.
[11]. Kesavan E. "The Evolution of Software Design Patterns: An In-Depth Review." International Journal of Innovations in Science Engineering and Management, vol. 4, no. 1, 2025, pp. 163-167. doi: https://doi.org/10.69968/ijisem.2025v4i1163-167
[12]. Kesavan E, Subbaiah E. "New Era's Smart Systems and Internet Platforms Support Data Processing." International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Technology, 5(1), 2026, pp. 134-139. doi: https://doi.org/10.38124/ijsrmt.v5i1.1160
[13]. Lal A, et al. [ADD FULL REFERENCE — cited in Section IX but missing from the original bibliography.]
[14]. Li Z, Liu F, Yang W, Peng S, Zhou J. "A Survey of Convolutional Neural Networks: Analysis, Applications, and Prospects." IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems, 2021.
[15]. Markov K, Ivanova K, Mitov I. "Advanced Research in Artificial Intelligence." 2008.
[16]. MarketsandMarkets. "Artificial Intelligence Market Worth $407.0 Billion by 2027." 2022. https://www.marketsandmarkets.com/
[17]. Mian SM, Khan MS, Shawez M, Kaur A. "Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML) & Deep Learning (DL): A Comprehensive Overview." 2024.
[18]. Nasiboglu R. "Comparative Analysis of Data Driven Technologies of Artificial Intelligence." 2023.
[19]. Palaniappan S, et al. [ADD FULL REFERENCE — cited in Section IV but missing from the original bibliography.]
[20]. PwC. "Sizing the Prize: What's the real value of AI for your business and how can you capitalise?" PwC, 2017.
[21]. Raju A. [ADD FULL REFERENCE — cited in Section III but missing from the original bibliography.]
[22]. Sahu D, et al. [ADD FULL REFERENCE — cited in Section VI but missing from the original bibliography.]
[23]. Saleh SOM, et al. [ADD FULL REFERENCE — cited in Section IX but missing from the original bibliography.]
[24]. Stanford University Human-Centered Artificial Intelligence. "AI Index Report 2024." Stanford HAI, 2024. https://hai.stanford.edu/
[25]. Tandalaskar S, Roy DP, Ghosh MK, Dey I. "The Use of Artificial Intelligence in Various Fields." 2024.
[26]. Taulli T. [ADD FULL REFERENCE — cited in Section I but missing from the original bibliography.]
[27]. Usama M. Fayyad, Gregory Piatetsky-Shapiro, Padhraic Smyth. "From Data Mining to Knowledge Discovery in Databases." AI Magazine, 1996.
[28]. Wu H. [ADD FULL REFERENCE — cited in Section X but missing from the original bibliography.]
[29]. Zhang Y, Balochian S, Agarwal P, Bhatnagar V, Housheya OJ. "Artificial Intelligence and Its Applications." 2016.