

Exploring the Role of AI-Driven Decision Support Systems in Reducing Diagnostic Errors in Rural Healthcare Settings

Okiemute Rita Obodo¹

Publication Date: 2025/09/20

Abstract

Excessive diagnosis errors are a hurdling problem in rural healthcare systems, which are usually aggravated by poor infrastructure, insufficiency of workers, and lack of specialist care. The conceptual review examines how using Artificial Intelligence Decision Support Systems (AI-DSS) in rural areas can fix errors in decision-making regarding diagnostic errors. It starts with clarifying the definition of diagnostic errors, AI-DSS infrastructure, pattern of functioning, and peculiarities of rural healthcare settings. Based on international publications and theoretical models, namely, the Technology Acceptance Model and the Health Belief Model, the paper discusses the advantages of AI-DSS: accuracy of diagnosis, profiling, and decreased clinician workload. The case examples of India, Nigeria, and Rwanda prove the effectiveness of implementing customised AI solutions in low-resource settings.

Nevertheless, significant impediments remain, such as infrastructural shortages, digital illiteracy, data privacy and sustainability. The three opportunities revealed during the review are the critical areas where the customised AI tool could work, collaboration across different sectors, and capacity-building initiatives. It also provides strategic advice on ethical and scaleable integration, such as the publication of regulatory frameworks and investment into offline-compatible technologies. According to the study, it can be concluded that the AI-DSS has shown promising ways to fill the gaps in diagnosing rural healthcare but will only be successful when tailored to local conditions and empirically verified. Additional interdisciplinary studies are required to evaluate real-world effects, inform responsible adoption, and ensure that AI technologies are used to the point of fair healthcare delivery.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Diagnostic Errors, Decision Support Systems, Rural Healthcare, Technology Acceptance, Health Policy.

I. INTRODUCTION

According to the results of investigations, the prevalence of diagnostic error in rural regions, although it occasionally manifested itself directly in measuring the quality of medical care, to a larger extent under the influence of scarce resources, incompetent staff recruitment, and inadequacies in healthcare infrastructure, existed (Singh et al., 2022). Often, these settings have no access to the special care, laboratory assistance, and diagnostic tools, which puts the risk of misdiagnosis or untimely treatment at a significantly higher rate (Erickson et al., 2021). Such an environment is likely to turn previously minor conditions into something more complicated due to the lack of attention or a wrong understanding of the situation and, therefore, this is still likely to affect patient outcomes increasing the levels of morbidity and mortality (Bates et al., 2018; Sarkar et al., 2020). As the realm of medicine is growingly embracing

digital technologies, the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become an agent of change especially in the realm of AI-driven Decision Support Systems (AI-DSS) (Topol, 2019; Jiang et al., 2021). The systems are based on the machine learning algorithm, clinical databases, and pattern identification features, which assist clinicians to diagnose the disease, propose some diagnostic opportunities, and report abnormalities in patient records (Liu et al., 2021). They can find their use in supplementing clinical judgment, at least in cases where human knowledge is limited or is already overworked (Beam & Kohane, 2016). The implementation of AI-DSS in care in the countryside, therefore, presents a prospective way out to address the gap in diagnosis (Matheny et al., 2020). Human error can be minimised, the continuation of identical decisions can be realised, and these systems will be applicable to context-specific limitations that can be scaled as rural health workers (Benke et al., 2022). Nonetheless, innovation in AI does already have a set of challenges in

such environments, varying between infrastructural shortcomings to ethical issues. This conceptual review aims to explore the role of AI-driven decision support systems in addressing diagnostic errors within rural healthcare environments. It synthesises current literature, evaluates potential applications and limitations, and offers strategic recommendations for effective implementation. By doing so, the study contributes to a growing discourse on digital equity in healthcare and the ethical adoption of AI in underserved regions.

II. CONCEPTUAL CLARIFICATION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

The Artificial Intelligence (AI) in healthcare is defined as the simulation of human intelligence with the help of computer systems or, in other words, the application of machine learning, natural language processing and data analytics (Jiang et al., 2021). Such systems are able to detect complex patterns in the clinical data, to learn based on the experience of the patients and provide recommendations or predictions (Topol, 2019). An example is the AI-Driven Decision Support System (AI-DSS) that assist clinicians in making diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic decisions (Liu et al., 2021). Such systems interpret patient data, match symptoms with large clinical databases, and produce possible diagnosis or treatment recommendations. The AI-DSS is capable of enhancing clinical judgement in resource-limited environments and particularly where expertise is impoverished (Matheny et al., 2020). A key issue in rural healthcare is diagnostic errors, as these are defined as the failure to make a proper diagnosis of a health condition and timely make it known (Graber et al., 2018). They consist of underdiagnosis, wrong diagnosis, and even untimely diagnosis and are usually determined by a combination of cognitive errors, dysfunction within the system, and interpersonal communication (Singh et al., 2022). Due to a lack of proper tools, staffing deficits, large patient volume, and ineffective referral systems, however, these issues are compounded in rural areas (Erickson et al., 2021). Clients in such areas are therefore more prone to being misdiagnosed and unnecessary complications that could have been avoided (Okafor et al., 2023).

Healthcare in rural and remote areas is generally characterised by geographic isolation, poor access to specialised services and long-term underfunding (Reddy et al., 2020). Most of the low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) instead of sending specialists to the rural clinics have community health workers or general practitioners operating in these clinics (Benke et al., 2022). Very often, these workers have no modern diagnostic tools or permanent medical training (Sarkar et al., 2020). Further, there is infrastructural constraint of unstable electricity, little internet facilities, and no imaging facilities, so that clinical decisions are frequently taken without complete information. The facts on the ground render rural health systems as being good candidates using AI-based assistive diagnostic tools (Esteva et al., 2021). The body of literature of diagnostic errors in rural settings indicates some recurrent problems. According to WHO report in

2018, in many regions of the world, rural health workers have to use empirical diagnosis because of the absence of laboratory facilities (WHO, 2018). Sub-Saharan Afro-Asian and South Asian research shows that misdiagnosis of such diseases as malaria, pneumonia, and tuberculosis is substantial, which need specific confirmation (Bates et al., 2018). Moreover, the stigma of having some illnesses like HIV/AIDS or mental diseases may leave incomplete histories of patients and create more difficulty in adequate diagnosis (Wiens et al., 2019).

AI-DSS have been promising in high-resource environments and those that utilize electronic health records extensively as an integrated part so as to offer live-time diagnostic identification and also help with triage (Beam & Kohane, 2023). Radiology has posed a particular success story of AI since some of its models reached diagnostic precision similar to that of clinical specialists (Yu et al., 2023). Nonetheless, there are still problems. In general, when non-representative data is used to train algorithms, they can be biased or produce results that are not accurate once used in a rural or minority area (Obermeyer et al., 2019). Among the low-resource settings, the preliminary data show that one can enhance the diagnostic results using AI-DSS but inconsistent implementation (Esteva et al., 2021). In Kenya and India, AI tools based on mobile devices have been tested as potential methods of early diagnosis of such problems as diabetic retinopathy and cervical cancer (Benke et al., 2022). Such efforts signal a possibility to implement AI in the rural setting offered training and infrastructural resources are in place (Jiang et al., 2021). Nevertheless, the usage of the internet in general is bridled by poor access, absence of information standardisation, the language barrier, and digital illiteracy (Reddy et al., 2020). In order to comprehend the implementation of AI-DSS in the rural health, two theoretical frameworks, the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the Health Belief Model (HBM) become helpful (Holden & Karsh, 2010). TAM focuses more on the usefulness of a technology and how easy it is to use it as relevant determinants of technology acceptance (Davis, 1989). To rural health workers, it implies that AI systems should be practical and efficient as compared to localized, and capable of proving their benefit (Venkatesh et al., 2003). HBM centres on the perception of health threats and benefits on the individual level and suggests that adoption increases when the users share the confidence that technology can help in improving health outcomes (Rosenstock, 1974). In conclusion, the literature paints a cautiously optimistic picture of AI-DSS in rural healthcare. While these systems have the potential to reduce diagnostic errors and support overwhelmed healthcare workers, their success depends on context-sensitive adaptation, inclusive system design, and alignment with the needs and expectations of rural users. By leveraging models like TAM and HBM, policymakers and developers can improve the chances of successful adoption and sustainable impact.

III. THE ROLE OF AI-DSS IN REDUCING DIAGNOSTIC ERRORS

To help clinicians make correct and timely decisions, AI-Driven Decision Support Systems (AI-DSS) are used, which applies the machine learning, natural language processing (NLP), and data analytics (Topol, 2019). Through the use of imaging, lab and clinical notes, these systems are able to interpret structured and unstructured data and discover patterns that are beyond the capabilities of humans to identify, particularly when they are limited in resources (Liu et al., 2021). NLP enables AI-DSS to analyse clinical narratives, whereas image recognition has the potential to evaluate radiology and pathological images and propose a possible diagnosis (Matheny et al., 2020). One of the fundamental advantages of AI-DSS is related to its better outcomes in terms of accuracy and consistency in terms of diagnosis (Beam & Kohane, 2023). Having an evidence-based, real-time suggestion so that clinicians can prevent the heuristic shortcut uses and overlook rare or atypical presentations (Graber et al., 2018). This is essential in rural environments where access to specialists is limited and there is a shortage of modernized amenities to carry out diagnoses (Reddy et al., 2020). Helping with deloading the brain, AI automatises routine tasks, draws attention to an anomaly, and provides summaries of various histories (Wiens et al., 2019). Early detection and triaging, which is important in crippled rural clinics, are considered with AI-DSS (Obermeyer et al., 2019). In one example, mobile applications with AI capabilities have been applied to identify the signs of communicable illnesses, such as the COVID-19 or tuberculosis, and directed early isolation and referral. AI-Enabled wearables enable a remote patient to be monitored and intervened at the right time (Benke et al., 2022). They are indicated through case studies to show that they are relevant to rural people. In India, AI-based retinal screening has made it possible to diagnose an early stage of diabetic retinopathy without the involvement of specialists (Gulshan et al., 2019). Rwanda has already put in place AI connected drones to provide health care logistics and imaging (Njuguna et al., 2021). One of the most significant neonatal risks is determined to be solved because the Ubenwa project implemented in Nigeria uses AI to analyze the cry of a born baby and reveal birth asphyxia (Oriakhi et al., 2022). Effectiveness measures include diagnostic accuracy, the speed of decision-making and reduced misdiagnosis (Bates et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2021). Better results and clinician satisfaction also serve as the markers of sustainability (Singh et al., 2022). To conclude, there exist a transformative promise of AI-DSS in rural healthcare. They will be successful through careful design, training of the user and aligning with the rural realities. Context-sensitive use of AI-DSS might contribute to the reduction of health disparities in terms of diagnostic accuracy.

➤ *Barriers to Implementation in Rural Areas*

Although these are promising, there are some challenges hindering the implementation of AI-DSS in rural healthcare. First, technological plunge: a large number of rural clinics have weak electricity, slow internet

connection, and old hardware, and therefore, it is challenging to operate data-intensive AI systems (Wahl et al., 2021). These problems are further complicated by human aspects, as the low level of digital literacy, the unwillingness to work with unfamiliar technology, and cultural skepticism toward the idea of trusting in the healthcare provided by machines limit the adoption rates (Labrique et al., 2018). Loopholes of an ethical and legal nature are also a big threat. In areas where there is no strong legislation, data privacy is often breached (Price & Cohen, 2019), plus algorithmic discrimination might yield inaccurate diagnosis on underrepresented groups (Obermeyer et al., 2019), resulting in the loss of trust. Scalability is also impaired by finances. Hardware, software, training, and maintenance are expensive to invest in and might not work in the rural facilities, and that is when there is no long-term funding (Reddy et al., 2020). Furthermore, utilizing third-party donors or closed platforms may cause the problems of ownership and sustainability (Benke et al., 2022). The solutions to these challenges should include offline-friendly, low-cost AI, specific digital training of workers in rural areas (WHO, 2020), and strong legal guidelines (Gerke et al., 2020) and the design process that should be inclusive to allow ethical and practical integration in the rural health system (Wiens et al., 2019).

➤ *Opportunities*

Implementing the use of AI-driven decision support system could create many opportunities to better serve the rural health. The specially-designed AI applications work in the low-resource environments, including models that are mobile-friendly and do not require the internet or electricity in general, which can help bypass infrastructure restraints (Patel et al., 2022). The tools are the kind of devices that can work on simple smartphones and offer diagnostic assistance at the point of care, even being within the most distant communities (Gichoya et al., 2022). Also, with the unification of the work by stakeholders, including non-governmental organisations (NGOs), governmental health organisations, and technology companies, there is a possibility of implementation at the scale that can sustain the process (Matheny et al., 2020). The joint funds guarantee the clinical relevance of AI tools and the possibility to be adapted locally (Esteva et al., 2021). In addition to that, AI integration also provides an opportunity to resolve workforce scarcity by complementing human knowledge and optimizing the accuracy of diagnosis (Topol, 2019). When incorporated properly, AI-DSS has a potential to contribute to the early interception, minimize the workload by decreasing the number of patient referrals, and lead to better health outcomes in general, which would transform the rural health system to become more responsive and efficient care provider (Yu et al., 2023).

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

The strategic measures to enhance the effectiveness of AI-DSS in rural healthcare should include capacity building, i.e., provision of digital training to health workers, ethical management, i.e., the high level of data

protection, as well as the creation of low-cost, off-line capable, and language-enabled AI tools. The policymakers should set guidelines to facilitate public-private partnerships, community interaction, and sustainability. Streamlining the innovation to local realities will also make the AI-DSS effective in minimizing diagnostic errors and ensuring that the technology helps ensure equitable delivery in parts of the United States that have been underserved.

V. CONCLUSION

The present review highlights the possibility of AI-driven decision support systems (AI-DSS) to minimize errors during diagnosis in rural healthcare facilities by enhancing accuracy, alleviating clinician workload, and making it early. However, to succeed, they must rely on context-sensitive design, engineering infrastructural, literacy, and cultural problems. Proper implementation needs a partnership between stakeholders, moral protection, and specialized training. Although this is promising, AI-DSS should be empirically tested to provide sustainable and real-life application relevance to the growth of rural populations and proffer scalable, evidence-based solutions.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Bates, D. W., Levine, D. M., Salmasian, H., Syrowatka, A., Shahian, D. M., Lipsitz, S., & Haas, S. (2018). The safety of inpatient health care. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 378(3), 249-258.
- [2]. Beam, A. L., & Kohane, I. S. (2023). Translating artificial intelligence into clinical care. *JAMA*, 330(4), 310-311.
- [3]. Benke, K., Benke, G., & Pieber, T. R. (2022). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: A critical analysis of challenges and risks. *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine*, 60(5), 636-642.
- [4]. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319-340.
- [5]. Erickson, S. M., Rockwern, B., Koltov, M., & McLean, R. M. (2021). Putting patients first by reducing administrative tasks in health care: A position paper of the American College of Physicians. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 174(8), 1108-1113.
- [6]. Esteva, A., Chou, K., Yeung, S., Naik, N., Madani, A., Mottaghi, A., ... & Socher, R. (2021). Deep learning-enabled medical computer vision. *NPJ Digital Medicine*, 4(1), 5.
- [7]. Gerke, S., Minssen, T., & Cohen, G. (2020). Ethical and legal challenges of artificial intelligence-driven healthcare. *Nature Biotechnology*, 38(5), 493-497.
- [8]. Gichoya, J. W., McCoy, L. G., Celi, L. A., & Ghassemi, M. (2022). Equity in essence: A call for democratizing AI in global health. *The Lancet Digital Health*, 4(3), e156-e157.
- [9]. Graber, M. L., Rusz, D., Jones, M. L., Farm-Franks, D., Jones, B., Gluck, J., ... & Singh, H. (2018). The new diagnostic team. *Diagnosis*, 5(4), 215-223.
- [10]. Gulshan, V., Peng, L., Coram, M., Stumpe, M. C., Wu, D., Narayanaswamy, A., ... & Webster, D. R. (2019). Development and validation of a deep learning algorithm for detection of diabetic retinopathy in retinal fundus photographs. *JAMA*, 316(22), 2402-2410.
- [11]. Holden, R. J., & Karsh, B. T. (2010). The Technology Acceptance Model: Its past and its future in health care. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 43(1), 159-172.
- [12]. Jiang, F., Jiang, Y., Zhi, H., Dong, Y., Li, H., Ma, S., ... & Wang, Y. (2021). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: Past, present, and future. *Stroke and Vascular Neurology*, 6(1), 26-38.
- [13]. Labrique, A. B., Wadhvani, C., Williams, K. A., Lamptey, P., Hesp, C., Luk, R., ... & Mehrotra, A. (2018). Best practices in scaling digital health in low- and middle-income countries. *Global Health: Science and Practice*, 6(Suppl 1), S41-S47.
- [14]. Liu, X., Rivera, S. C., Moher, D., Calvert, M. J., & Denniston, A. K. (2021). Reporting guidelines for clinical trial reports for interventions involving artificial intelligence: The CONSORT-AI extension. *BMJ*, 370, m3164.
- [15]. Matheny, M. E., Whicher, D., & Israni, S. T. (2020). Artificial intelligence in health care: A report from the National Academy of Medicine. *JAMA*, 323(6), 509-510.
- [16]. Mehrotra, A., Ray, K., Brockmeyer, D. M., & Barnett, M. L. (2021). Rapid scaling of artificial intelligence in healthcare during the COVID-19 pandemic. *NEJM Catalyst*, 2(2).
- [17]. Njuguna, H. N., Caselton, D. L., Arunga, G. O., & Emukule, G. O. (2021). Use of drones in healthcare delivery in resource-limited settings: A case study of Rwanda. *BMJ Global Health*, 6(3), e004541.
- [18]. Obermeyer, Z., Powers, B., Vogeli, C., & Mullainathan, S. (2019). Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. *Science*, 366(6464), 447-453.
- [19]. Okafor, N., Payne, V. L., Chathampally, Y., Miller, S., Doshi, P., & Singh, H. (2023). Using voluntary reports from physicians to learn from diagnostic errors in emergency medicine. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 32(1), 40-50.
- [20]. Oriakhi, R., Udo, I., & Brown, B. J. (2022). AI-based neonatal cry analysis for early detection of birth asphyxia in low-resource settings: The Ubenwa initiative. *Journal of Global Health*, 12(1), 01003.
- [21]. Patel, B., Khan, S., Hamid, P., & Nair, M. (2022). Offline AI for global health: A systematic review of mobile-based diagnostic tools in low-resource settings. *BMJ Global Health*, 7(3), e008319.
- [22]. Price, W. N., & Cohen, I. G. (2019). Privacy in the age of medical big data. *Nature Medicine*, 25(1), 37-43.

- [23]. Reddy, S., Fox, J., & Purohit, M. P. (2020). Artificial intelligence-enabled healthcare delivery. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 113(1), 5-10.
- [24]. Rosenstock, I. M. (1974). The Health Belief Model and preventive health behavior. *Health Education Monographs*, 2(4), 354-386.
- [25]. Sarkar, U., Bonacum, D., Strull, W., Spitzmueller, C., Jin, N., López, A., ... & Shojania, K. G. (2020). Challenges of making a diagnosis in the outpatient setting: A multi-site survey of primary care physicians. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 29(1), 31-39.
- [26]. Singh, H., Meyer, A. N., & Thomas, E. J. (2022). The frequency of diagnostic errors in outpatient care: Estimations from three large observational studies involving US adult populations. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 31(2), 101-110.
- [27]. Topol, E. J. (2019). High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nature Medicine*, 25(1), 44-56.
- [28]. Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 425-478.
- [29]. Wahl, B., Cossy-Gantner, A., Germann, S., & Schwalbe, N. R. (2021). Artificial intelligence (AI) and global health: How can AI contribute to health in resource-poor settings? *BMJ Global Health*, 6(3), e004543.
- [30]. Wiens, J., Saria, S., Sendak, M., Ghassemi, M., Liu, V. X., Doshi-Velez, F., ... & Horvitz, E. (2019). Do no harm: A roadmap for responsible machine learning for health care. *Nature Medicine*, 25(9), 1337-1340.
- [31]. World Health Organization (WHO). (2018). Delivering quality health services: A global imperative for universal health coverage. WHO.
- [32]. World Health Organization (WHO). (2020). Digital health for universal health coverage. WHO.
- [33]. Yu, K. H., Beam, A. L., & Kohane, I. S. (2023). Artificial intelligence in healthcare. *Nature Biomedical Engineering*, 7(3), 203-214.